

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/936,629	MAXWELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Renata McCloud	2837	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Renata McCloud.

(3) _____.

 (2) Todd Bynum.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 November 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

28,31,32

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner indicated a few antecedent basis issues in the claims. Att. Bynum agreed to correct the claims via examiner amendment
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)